

## Spectral Radius, Norms of Iterates, and the Critical Exponent

VLASTIMIL PTÁK

*Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences  
Institute of Mathematics, Prague*

Communicated by Alston S. Householder

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $B$  be a Banach space and denote by  $L(B)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $B$ . If  $A \in L(B)$ , then the connection between the spectral radius  $|A|_\sigma$  of  $A$  and the norms of the successive powers of  $A$  is given by the well-known formula

$$|A|_\sigma = \lim \sqrt[r]{|A^r|}.$$

This formula is, in fact, nothing more than the statement that the radius of convergence of the power series  $E + \lambda A + \lambda^2 A^2 + \dots$  coincides with the reciprocal of the spectral radius of  $A$ .

In particular, the series  $E + A + A^2 + \dots$  will be convergent if and only if  $|A|_\sigma < 1$  and this is equivalent to the requirement that  $|A^r| < 1$  for some  $r$ . Hence if  $|A| = 1$  and  $|A|_\sigma < 1$  there is some power of  $A$  which will be  $< 1$ . It is thus natural to ask how far one has to go in order to find a power  $|A^r| < 1$  and, furthermore, if these exponents have a common bound. More precisely, let us denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the set of all operators  $A$  with  $|A| \leq 1$  and  $|A|_\sigma < 1$ . For each  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , let us denote by  $e(A)$  the smallest exponent  $r$  for which  $|A^r| < 1$ . Is there a common bound for the function  $e(A)$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ ? This leads to the following definition.

1. Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional Banach space. The number  $q$  is said to be the critical exponent of the space  $B$  if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) if  $A \in L(B)$  and  $|A| = |A^q| = 1$ , then  $|A|_\sigma = 1$ ;

(2) there exists a  $T \in L(B)$  such that

$$|T| = |T^{q-1}| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |T|_\sigma < 1.$$

The problem of the existence of the critical exponent was first introduced and solved by J. Mařík and the present author [1] for the  $n$ -dimensional space with norm  $|x| = \max|x_i|$ . The critical exponent turns out to be  $n^2 - n + 1$ . Later, the present author [3] showed that the critical exponent of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space is equal to  $n$ . Since the critical exponent of a space  $B$  and of its adjoint  $B'$  are clearly equal, the critical exponent of the  $n$ -dimensional space with norm  $|x| = \sum|x_i|$  is the same as that of the first space. All these spaces belong to the class of Hölder spaces of type  $l_p$ , which may be described as follows.

Given any natural number  $n$  and any number  $p$  such that  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we shall denote by  $B_{n,p}$  the (real or complex)  $n$ -dimensional vector space, the norm of the vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  being defined by the formula

$$|x| = (\sum |x_i|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Of course, this reduces to  $|x| = \max|x_i|$  if  $p = \infty$ .

If we agree to write  $q(B)$  for the critical exponent of  $B$ , provided it is finite, the results mentioned above may be reformulated as follows:

$$q(B_{n,\infty}) = q(B_{n,1}) = n^2 - n + 1;$$

$$q(B_{n,2}) = n.$$

The existence of the critical exponent for finite dimensional  $l_p$  spaces,  $p$  different from 1, 2, and  $\infty$ , is still an open problem. For certain particular values of  $p$ , its existence has been announced by M. Perles [2]; however, the bounds that he has been able to give are very large.

The failure of the attempts to compute the critical exponent of  $l_p$  spaces is largely due to the fact that, in a certain sense, the definition of the critical exponent is based on a qualitative statement: if  $|A| = 1$  and  $|A^q| = 1$  then the spectral radius  $|A|_\sigma = 1$ . It is the purpose of the present note to point out that the negative restatement of the definition of the critical exponent can very easily be given a quantitative character; this leads to many interesting problems, some of which might be of interest for immediate applications in numerical analysis.

We begin by defining, for each finite dimensional Banach space  $B$ , a series of constants which describes the behavior of the norms of the successive powers of linear operators in  $B$ .

2. Given a Banach space  $B$ , a number  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , and a natural number  $r$  we shall denote by  $C(B, \rho, r)$  the number

$$C(B, \rho, r) = \sup\{|A^r|; A \in L(B), |A| \leq 1, |A|_\sigma \leq \rho\}.$$

Clearly  $0 \leq C(B, \rho, r) \leq 1$  for any Banach space  $B$ , any  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , and any  $r$ . Furthermore,  $C(B, \rho, r + 1) \leq C(B, \rho, r)$ .

Let us first clear up the connection of these constants with the critical exponent.

The following lemma is based on the continuity of the spectrum as a function of the operator  $A$ .

3. Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional Banach space and let  $q$  be a natural number. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $q \geq q(B)$ , the critical exponent of  $B$ ;
- (2)  $C(B, \rho, q) < 1$  for each  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that (2) is satisfied and that  $A$  is a linear operator on  $B$  such that  $|A| = 1$  and  $|A^q| = 1$ . Suppose that  $|A|_\sigma < 1$ . It follows from the definition of our constants  $C(B, \rho, q)$  that

$$1 = |A^q| \leq C(B, |A|_\sigma, q) < 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, assume (1) and suppose that  $C(B, \rho, q) = 1$  for some  $\rho < 1$ . It follows that there exists a sequence  $A_n \in L(B)$  such that  $|A_n| \leq 1$ ,  $|A_n|_\sigma \leq \rho$  and  $\lim |A_n^q| = 1$ . The unit sphere in  $L(B)$  being compact, there exists an infinite set  $R$  of real numbers such that the subsequence  $A_n, n \in R$ , converges to some operator  $A_0$ . Since  $|A_n| \leq 1$  and  $|A_n|_\sigma \leq \rho$  for each  $n$ , it follows that  $|A_0| \leq 1$  and  $|A_0|_\sigma \leq \rho$ , the second inequality being a consequence of the continuity of the spectrum as a function of the operator. At the same time  $|A_0^q| = \lim_{n \in R} |A_n^q| = 1$ . Hence  $|A_0| = |A_0^q| = 1$  and  $|A_0|_\sigma \leq \rho < 1$ , so that  $q \geq q(B)$  is impossible.

It is the purpose of the present note to compute the constants  $C(B_{n,2}, \rho, n)$  for  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space. We propose to do so by

constructing, for each  $\rho < 1$ , a certain operator  $A(\rho)$  with  $|A(\rho)| = 1$ ,  $|A(\rho)|_\sigma = \rho$ , and

$$|A(\rho)^n| = \max\{|A^n|; |A| \leq 1, |A|_\sigma \leq \rho\}.$$

There is little doubt that, once the result is known, shorter ways of obtaining  $C(B_{n,2}, \rho, n)$  will be devised; nevertheless we feel that the present approach is of interest inasmuch as it provides additional information about the behavior of iterates of operators.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

The algebra of all complex-valued matrices of type  $(n, n)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

Let  $E$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space with scalar product  $(x, y)$  and norm  $|x|$ .

If  $B$  is a sequence of  $n$  vectors  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  in  $E$ , we shall denote by  $G(B)$  or  $G(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  the Gram matrix of  $B$ . The elements  $g_{ik}$  of  $G(B)$  are defined as  $g_{ik} = (g_i, g_k)$  for  $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ .

If  $W$  is a matrix of type  $(n, n)$  with elements  $w_{ik}$ , we can form another sequence of vectors  $c_i = \sum_k w_{ik} b_k$ . It is easy to verify that

$$G(c_1, \dots, c_n) = WG(b_1, \dots, b_n)W^*.$$

The matrix  $G(B)$  is always positive semidefinite; further,  $G(B)$  is positive definite if and only if  $B$  is a basis; in other words, if and only if the vectors  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are linearly independent.

If  $B$  is a basis of  $E$  and if  $x \in E$  is given, we shall denote by  $M(x; B)$  the (row) vector of the coordinates of  $x$  with respect to the basis  $B$  so that  $M(x; B) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is equivalent to  $x = \xi_1 b_1 + \dots + \xi_n b_n$ .

The algebra of all linear operators on  $E$  will be denoted by  $L(E)$ . Now let  $U$  and  $V$  be two bases of  $E$  consisting respectively of the vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . If  $A \in L(E)$ , the matrix of  $A$  in the bases  $U$  and  $V$  will be denoted by  $M(A; U, V)$ . Its  $i$ th row is taken to be  $M(Au_i; V)$  so that

$$Au_i = \sum_k m_{ik} v_k.$$

Using this notation, we obtain, for each  $x \in E$ ,

$$M(Ax; V) = M(x; U)M(A; U, V).$$

If  $U, V, W$  are three bases of  $E$  and  $A, B \in L(E)$ , then

$$M(AB; U, W) = M(B; U, V)M(A; V, W).$$

We shall frequently be using the following lemma:

4. Let  $A \in L(E)$  and let  $U, V$  be two bases of  $E$ . Denote by  $M$  the matrix  $M(A; U, V)$ . Then  $|A| \leq \lambda$  is equivalent to

$$MG(V)M^* \leq \lambda^2 G(U).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in E$  be given, let  $y = Ax$ , and put  $p = M(x; U)$ ,  $q = M(y; V)$ . Clearly

$$|x^2| = \left| \sum p_i u_i \right|^2 = pG(U)p^*.$$

Since  $q = pM$ , we have

$$|y|^2 = \left| \sum q_i v_i \right|^2 = qG(V)q^* = pMG(V)M^*p^*.$$

The inequality  $|y|^2 \leq \lambda^2 |x|^2$  for each  $x$  is thus equivalent to the inequality

$$pMG(V)M^*p^* \leq pG(U)p^*$$

for each  $p$ .

It is not difficult to see that  $L(E)$  itself is a Hilbert space under the scalar product  $(A, T) = \text{tr } T^*A$ . Hence every linear functional  $f$  on  $L(E)$  may be obtained in the form

$$f(A) = \text{tr}(W^*A)$$

for a suitable  $W \in L(E)$ . In particular, for fixed  $x$  and  $y$ , the expression  $(Ax, y)$  is a linear functional on  $L(E)$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$(Ax, y) = (A, T),$$

where  $T$  is the one-dimensional operator defined by  $Tu = (u, x)y$ .

### 3. THE MAXIMUM PROBLEM FOR OPERATORS SATISFYING A GIVEN CAYLEY-HAMILTON EQUATION

In the present section we intend to solve the maximum problem for the class of all operators which satisfy a given equation of the Cayley-Hamilton type.

Suppose we are given  $n$  complex numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that all roots of the equation  $x^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-1}$  are  $< 1$  in absolute value. To simplify the notation, we shall write simply  $a$  for the vector  $a = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . We intend to investigate the class  $\mathcal{A}$  of all operators  $A \in L(E)$  such that  $|A| \leq 1$  and

$$A^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 A + \dots + \alpha_n A^{n-1}.$$

Clearly this polynomial identity is satisfied if and only if the minimal polynomial of  $A$  is a divisor of  $x^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-1})$ .

It will be useful to establish a connection of our class  $\mathcal{A}$  with a class of matrices  $\mathcal{Z}$ , defined as follows. We denote by  $T$  the matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and observe that the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  is  $\lambda^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda + \dots + \alpha_n \lambda^{n-1})$ . We take  $\mathcal{Z}$  to be the class of all (hermitian) symmetric matrices  $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$  which satisfy  $TZT^* \leq Z$  and  $z_{11} = 1$ .

In the following proposition we shall learn how to associate, with each vector  $z \in E$  with  $|z| = 1$ , a certain mapping  $g$  which establishes a connection between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ :

5. Let  $z \in E$  be a given vector with  $|z| = 1$ . Let  $g$  be the mapping of  $L(E)$  into  $\mathcal{M}_n$  which assigns to every  $S \in L(E)$  the matrix

$$g(S) = G(z, Sz, S^2z, \dots, S^{n-1}z).$$

Then  $g(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  define  $z_i$  as  $A^{i-1}z$  so that  $g(A) = G(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Consider now the vectors  $w_i$  defined by the relation  $w_i = \sum_k t_{ik} z_k$  so that

$$Tg(A)T^* = TG(z_1, \dots, z_n)T^* = G(w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

At the same time,  $A$  being a contraction, we have  $G(Az_1, \dots, Az_n) \leq G(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  by Lemma 4. If we show that  $Az_i = w_i$ , we shall have, combining this with the above equation,  $Tg(A)T^* \leq g(A)$ . Since  $(z_1, z_1) =$

$(z, z) = 1$ , this will show that  $g(A) \in \mathcal{Z}$ . To show that  $Az_i = w_i$ , take first the case  $i < n$ . Clearly  $w_i = z_{i+1}$ ; at the same time  $Az_i = z_{i+1}$  as well, so that  $Az_i = w_i$ . If  $i = n$ , we have  $w_n = \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_n z_n$ . Now  $A^n = \alpha_1 E + \alpha_2 A + \dots + \alpha_n A^{n-1}$ , whence  $A^n z = \alpha_1 z + \alpha_2 Az + \dots + \alpha_n A^{n-1} z = \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 + \dots + \alpha_n z_n = w_n$ . It follows that  $w_n = A^n z = A(A^{n-1} z) = Az_n$  and the proof is complete.

On the other hand let  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Since  $TZT^* \leq Z$  it follows by induction that  $T^r Z T^{*r} \leq Z$ . We note first that the characteristic polynomial of the matrix  $T$  is  $x^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-1}$  so that the spectral radius of  $T$  is less than one. It follows that  $\lim T^r = 0$  so that, passing to the limit, we obtain  $0 \leq Z$ . It follows that there exist vectors  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in E$  such that  $Z = G(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ . Since  $z_{11} = 1$ , the first vector  $z_1$  has norm 1 so that, taking a suitable unitary transformation, we may assume  $z_1 = z$ . Define now vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  as follows:

$$w_i = z_{i+1} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < n;$$

$$w_n = \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_n z_n.$$

Let us show now that, for each  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ , the inequality

$$|\xi_1 w_1 + \dots + \xi_n w_n|^2 \leq |\xi_1 z_1 + \dots + \xi_n z_n|^2$$

is satisfied. To see that denote by  $u$  the row vector  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Since  $w_i = \sum_k t_{ik} z_k$ , we have, since  $TZT^* \leq Z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum \xi_i w_i|^2 &= \left( \sum \xi_i w_i, \sum \xi_j w_j \right) = uG(w_1, \dots, w_n)u^* \\ &= uTG(z_1, \dots, z_n)T^*u^* = uTZT^*u^* \leq uZu^* = |\sum \xi_i z_i|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that the inequality is established. In particular, it follows from this inequality that a relation of the form  $\sum \xi_i z_i = 0$  implies  $\sum \xi_i w_i = 0$ . Accordingly there exists on the subspace  $E_0$  generated by  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  a linear operator  $A_0$  which takes  $z_i$  into  $w_i$ . Let us extend  $A_0$  to an operator  $A$  on the whole of  $E$  by putting  $A = 0$  on  $E_0^\perp$ . Let us show first that  $A$  is a contraction. If  $x \in E$  is given, it may be expressed in the form

$$x = \xi_1 z_1 + \dots + \xi_n z_n + y$$

with  $y \in E_0^\perp$  so that  $Ax = \xi_1 w_1 + \dots + \xi_n w_n$ . By the inequality above, we have

$$|Ax|^2 = |\sum \xi_i w_i|^2 \leq |\sum \xi_i z_i|^2 \leq |\sum \xi_i z_i|^2 + |y|^2 = |x|^2.$$

The next step consists in showing that  $z_i = A^{i-1}z$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The operator  $A$  has been defined so as to have  $Az_i = w_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $i < n$ , we have  $w_i = z_{i+1}$  so that  $Az_i = z_{i+1}$ , from which, together with  $z_1 = z$ , the equations  $z_i = A^{i-1}z$  follow easily. This shows already that  $g(A) = Z$ . Since we know already that  $A$  is a contraction we shall have  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  if we show that  $A^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 A + \dots + \alpha_n A^{n-1}) = 0$ . First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} A^n z &= A(A^{n-1}z) = Az_n = w_n = \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_n z_n \\ &= \sum_1^n \alpha_i A^{i-1}z, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $(A^n - \sum_1^n \alpha_i A^{i-1})z = 0$ . If  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , is given, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( A^n - \sum_1^n \alpha_i A^{i-1} \right) z_r &= \left( A^n - \sum_1^n \alpha_i A^{i-1} \right) A^{r-1} z \\ &= A^{r-1} \left( A^n - \sum_1^n \alpha_i A^{i-1} \right) z = 0; \end{aligned}$$

since  $A = 0$  on  $E_0^\perp$ , we conclude that  $A^n - \sum_1^n \alpha_i A^{i-1} = 0$ . The proof is complete.

Now we are ready to attack the maximum problem.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and let  $z \in E$ . Define again  $z_i = A^{i-1}z$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $m \geq n$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $A^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 A + \dots + \alpha_n A^{n-1}$  so that  $A^n z = \sum \alpha_i z_i$ . Since  $Az_i = \sum t_{ik} z_k$  we have  $A^{m-n} z_i = \sum_{j,k} t_{ik}^{(m-n)} z_k$ , where  $t_{ik}^{(p)}$  are the elements of the matrix  $T^p$ . Hence  $A^m z = A^{m-n} A^n z = A^{m-n} \sum_i \alpha_i z_i = \sum_{i,k} \alpha_i t_{ik}^{(m-n)} z_k = \sum_{i,k} t_{ni} t_{ik}^{(m-n)} z_k = \sum_j t_{nj}^{(m-n+1)} z_j$  so that

$$|A^m z|^2 = \left( \sum \beta_j z_j, \sum \beta_k z_k \right) = \sum \beta_j \bar{\beta}_k (z_j, z_k),$$

where we have put  $\beta_j = t_{nj}^{(m-n+1)}$ . If we denote by  $f_m$  the linear functional on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  defined by  $f_m(W) = \sum_{i,k} w_{ik} \beta_i \bar{\beta}_k$ , we may write

$$|A^m z|^2 = f_m(g(A)),$$

$g$  being the transformation defined in the preceding section. It follows that  $\max |A^m z|^2$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  equals the maximum of  $f_m$  on the set  $\mathcal{Z}$ . The last set being compact and convex, the maximum of  $f_m$  will be attained at an extreme point of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .



Consider now the cone  $\mathcal{F}$  of all symmetric matrices  $Z$  such that  $TZT^* \leq Z$ . We have seen already that  $TZT^* \leq Z$  implies  $Z \geq 0$  so that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a subcone of the cone  $\mathcal{P}$  of all symmetric positive semidefinite matrices. In order to obtain the extreme rays of  $\mathcal{F}$  let us establish a linear isomorphism between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ . If  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ , denote by  $\phi(Z)$  the matrix  $\phi(Z) = Z - TZT^*$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is a linear mapping of  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $\mathcal{P}$ . Now  $\phi(Z) = 0$  means  $Z = TZT^*$  and, by iteration,  $Z = T^r Z T^{*r}$ ; the right-hand side, however, tends to zero so that  $\phi(Z) = 0$  implies  $Z = 0$ . Given  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , define  $Z$  as

$$Z = P + TPT^* + T^2PT^{*2} + \dots,$$

so that  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Z = P + TZT^*$ . Hence  $\phi(Z) = P$  and the mapping  $\phi$  is thus seen to be one-to-one and onto. It follows that the extreme rays of  $\mathcal{F}$  are generated by matrices of the form  $\phi^{-1}(P)$  where  $P$  are generators of extreme rays of  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows that

$$\max |A^m z|^2 = \max f_m(\phi^{-1}(P)),$$

where  $P$  runs over the set of all matrices of the form  $p_{ik} = p_i \bar{p}_k$  such that the matrix  $Z = \phi^{-1}(P)$  has  $z_n = 1$ .

Let us now introduce the following notation: We denote by  $q_{ik}$  the linear functional on  $\mathcal{M}$  defined as follows. Given a matrix  $M$  the value  $q_{ik}(M) = m_{ik}$ . Now our functional  $f_m(W)$  may also be expressed as

$$q_{nn}(T^{m-n+1}WT^{*m-n+1}).$$

We shall show later that  $t_{ni}^{(r)} = t_{ii}^{(r+n-1)}$  for each  $r$  and  $i$ . From these equations it follows that

$$q_{nn}(T^{m-n+1}WT^{*m-n+1}) = q_{11}(T^mWT^{*m}).$$

In particular, if  $W$  is of the form  $w_{ik} = p_i \bar{p}_k$  the functional  $q_{11}(T^rWT^{*r})$  assumes an especially simple form. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{11}(T^rWT^{*r}) &= \sum_{i,k} t_{1i}^{(r)} p_i \bar{p}_k t_{k1}^{*(r)} \\ &= \sum_{i,k} t_{1i}^{(r)} p_i \overline{t_{1k}^{(r)}} \bar{p}_k = \left| \sum_k t_{1k}^{(r)} p_k \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take now  $Z = \phi^{-1}(P)$ , where  $P$  is of the form  $p_{ik} = p_i \bar{p}_k$ . It follows that

$$q_{11}(Z) = q_{11}(P) + q_{11}(TPT^*) + q_{11}(T^2PT^{*2}) + \dots,$$

while

$$q_{11}(T^m Z T^{*m}) = q_{11}(T^{2m} P T^{*m}) + q_{11}(T^{m+1} P T^{*m+1}) + \dots$$

We introduce now an abbreviation. If  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  is a given vector and  $P$  the corresponding matrix,  $p_{ik} = p_i \bar{p}_k$ , we shall denote by  $\xi_r(P)$  the functional

$$\xi_r(P) = \sum_k t_{1k}^{(r)} p_k$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows that

$$q_{11}(Z) = |\xi_0(P)|^2 + |\xi_1(P)|^2 + \dots,$$

while

$$q_{11}(T^m Z T^{*m}) = |\xi_m(P)|^2 + |\xi_{m+1}(P)|^2 + \dots$$

Consider now the Hilbert space  $H$  of all sequences  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$  such that  $\sum_{i \geq 0} |x_i|^2$  is convergent. In  $H$ , let us consider the following  $n$  vectors  $b_i$  defined as follows:

$$b_i = (b_{i0}, b_{i1}, \dots),$$

where  $b_{ir} = t_{1i}^{(r)}$ . It is not difficult to see that these  $n$  vectors belong to  $H$ . Indeed, since  $|T|_\sigma < 1$ , we have the estimate  $|T^r| \leq \lambda^r$  for large  $r$  and a suitable  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . It follows that

$$|(T^r u, v)| \leq \lambda^r |u| |v| \quad \text{for any } u, v$$

so that any sequence of the type

$$s_r = (T^r u, v), \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

belongs to  $H$ . If we denote by  $x(P)$  the sequence

$$x(P) = (\xi_0(P), \xi_1(P), \xi_2(P), \dots),$$

clearly  $x(P) = p_1 b_1 + p_2 b_2 + \dots + p_n b_n$  (since  $\xi_r(P) = \sum_k t_{1k}^{(r)} p_k = \sum_k p_k b_{kr}$ ). Let us denote by  $S$  the shift operator in  $H$ , so that, for  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , we have  $Sx = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Our task consists in finding the maximum of  $|S^m x(P)|^2$  under the condition that  $|x(P)|^2 = 1$ . However, if we allow  $P$  to vary over all symmetric matrices of rank one, the vectors  $x(P)$  will actually sweep out the subspace of  $H$  generated by  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ .

Let us denote this subspace by  $B$ . It is not difficult to show that  $B$  is invariant with respect to  $S$ . Indeed, it is not difficult to see that  $Sb_i = b_{i-1} + \alpha_i b_n$ , where we put  $b_0 = 0$ . First of all,  $t_{1i}^{(r+1)} = \sum_k t_{1k}^{(r)} t_{ki}$ , whence

$$t_{11}^{(r+1)} = \alpha_1 t_{1n}^{(r)} \quad \text{for } i = 1,$$

$$t_{1i}^{(r+1)} = t_{1,i-1}^{(r)} + \alpha_i t_{1n}^{(r)} \quad \text{for } i > 1,$$

so that

$$b_{1,r+1} = \alpha_1 b_{n,r},$$

$$b_{i,r+1} = b_{i-1,r} + \alpha_i b_{n,r}.$$

We have thus shown that  $\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |A^m| = |S^m|_B$ , where the norm is to be computed on the subspace  $B$ . Let us show now that the space  $B$  coincides with the space of all solutions of the recursive formula

$$x_{r+n} = \alpha_1 x_r + \alpha_2 x_{r+1} + \dots + \alpha_n x_{r+n-1}.$$

Since the first coordinates of the vectors  $b_i$  are just

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{matrix}$$

it suffices to show that each of the sequences  $b_i$  satisfies this recursive formula. To see that, let us consider the matrix  $T^\infty$ ,

$$\begin{matrix} t_{11}^{(0)} & t_{12}^{(0)} & \dots & t_{1n}^{(0)} \\ t_{11}^{(1)} & t_{12}^{(1)} & \dots & t_{1n}^{(1)} \\ t_{11}^{(2)} & t_{12}^{(2)} & \dots & t_{1n}^{(2)} \\ & & \vdots & \end{matrix}$$

(infinite number of rows and  $n$  columns). We observe that the  $i$ th column of this matrix is identical with the vector  $b_i$ . Let us show now that this matrix has the following simple property. Given any  $r = 0, 1, \dots$ , consider the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix consisting of the  $n$  consecutive rows of  $T^\infty$  starting with  $t_{11}^{(r)}, t_{12}^{(r)}, \dots, t_{1n}^{(r)}$ . Then this section of  $T^\infty$  is identical with  $T^r$ . To prove this, let us show first that, for any  $r > 0$  and any  $q < n$

$$t_{qi}^{(r)} = t_{q+1,i}^{(r-1)}.$$

Since  $t_{q_i}^{(r)} = \sum_j t_{q_j} t_{j_i}^{(r-1)}$  and  $q < n$ , the only nonzero term of this sum is the one with  $j = q + 1$  and  $t_{q, q+1} = 1$ . Using this reduction formula several times we obtain, for  $p < n$ ,

$$t_{1_i}^{(k+p)} = t_{1+p, i}^{(r)}$$

and this proves our statement.

Now let an  $i$  be given,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and let us prove that

$$t_{1_i}^{(r+n)} = \alpha_1 t_{1_i}^{(r)} + \alpha_2 t_{1_i}^{(r+1)} + \cdots + \alpha_n t_{1_i}^{(r+n-1)}.$$

Using the preceding formula the sum on the right-hand side reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 t_{1_i}^{(r)} + \alpha_2 t_{1_i}^{(r+1)} + \alpha_3 t_{1_i}^{(r+2)} + \cdots + \alpha_n t_{1_i}^{(r+n-1)} \\ &= \alpha_1 t_{1_i}^{(r)} + \alpha_2 t_{2_i}^{(r)} + \alpha_3 t_{3_i}^{(r)} + \cdots + \alpha_n t_{n_i}^{(r)} \\ &= \sum_j t_{n_j} t_{j_i}^{(r)} = t_{n_i}^{(r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by the formula above,  $t_{n_i}^{(r+1)} = t_{1_i}^{(r+n)}$ .

Summing up, we can now state the main theorem of this section:

6. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be complex numbers such that all roots of the polynomial  $x^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \cdots + \alpha_n x^{n-1})$  are less than one in absolute value. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the set of all contractions on a fixed  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space  $E$  which satisfy the equation

$$A^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 A + \cdots + \alpha_n A^{n-1}.$$

For any  $m \geq n$ ,

$$\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |A^m| = |S^m|_{H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)},$$

where  $S$  is the shift operator on  $l_2$  and  $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is the  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $l_2$  consisting of all solutions of the recurrent relation

$$x_{r+n} = \alpha_1 x_r + \alpha_2 x_{r+1} + \cdots + \alpha_n x_{r+n-1}.$$

The space  $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is invariant with respect to  $S$ .

#### 4. AN ESTIMATE

At this point, it is already possible to give a very simple estimate which constitutes a considerable strengthening of the original theorem on the critical exponent of the  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space.

Denote by  $H$  the Hilbert space of all sequences of the form  $x = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  with  $|x| = (\sum |x_i|^2)^{1/2}$ . In this space, consider the orthogonal projections  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $P + Q = I$  and

$$Px = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots\}.$$

Further, let  $F$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space of all vectors  $y = \{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$  with  $|y| = (\sum |y_i|^2)^{1/2}$ . If  $y \in F$  is given, we shall denote by  $T(y)$  the sequence  $z_0, z_1, \dots$  such that

$$y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, z_0, z_1, \dots$$

satisfies the recurrence relation with coefficients  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Clearly  $T(y)$  is an element of  $H$ ; we shall denote by  $|T|$  the norm of  $T$  as an operator from  $F$  into  $H$ .

We intend to show now that

$$|S^n|_{H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \leq \left( \frac{|T|^2}{1 + |T|^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The number on the right-hand side being less than one, this estimate clearly contains the earlier result that the critical exponent of  $E$  is  $n$ .

To prove the estimate above, take an arbitrary  $x \in H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and denote by  $y$  the vector  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$  in  $F$ .

Clearly  $|Qx| = |Ty|$  and  $|y| = |Px|$  so that

$$|Qx|^2 = |Ty|^2 \leq |T|^2|y|^2 = |T|^2|Px|^2.$$

Adding  $|T|^2|Qx|^2$  to both sides of this inequality, we obtain

$$(1 + |T|^2)|Qx|^2 \leq |T|^2(|Px|^2 + |Qx|^2) = |T|^2|x|^2.$$

Now it suffices to observe that  $|S^n x| = |Qx|$  and our inequality is established.

### 5. THE GENERAL MAXIMUM PROBLEM

Having obtained theorem 6 it is now comparatively easy to compute  $C(E, \rho, n)$ . It suffices to consider all recurrent relations  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for which the polynomial  $x^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-1})$  has all roots  $\leq \rho$  in absolute value, take the corresponding space  $H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , and find the maximum of  $|S^n|_{H(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}$  for such  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . The main idea used for

the solution of this maximum problem consists in the following: Denote again by  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  the solutions of the recurrent relations with unit initial values and express their coordinates in terms of  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ , the roots of  $x^n - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-1})$ ; the coordinates  $b_{i,r}$  for  $r \geq n$  are obtained (if the  $\rho_i$  are considered as indeterminates) in the form of a quotient of two determinants of Vandermonde type; these quotients, in their turn, may be expressed as polynomials in  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ . A closer inspection of the form of these polynomials suggests the conjecture that all the coefficients of all polynomials  $b_{i,r}$ ,  $r \geq n$ , are of the same sign (which depends on  $i$  only). In fact, for  $i = 1$ , it is not difficult to verify directly that these coefficients are all equal to one. I am indebted to Professor V. Knichal, who, at my request, supplied a proof of this conjecture. This result is stated as Lemma 7 below. With the aid of this lemma, it is not difficult to show that the maximum of the norm of  $S^n$  is attained on the space corresponding to the case where all  $\rho_i$  are equal to  $\rho$ .

We shall denote, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by  $E_i$  the polynomial

$$E_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq e_r \leq 1 \\ e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = i}} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_n^{e_n}.$$

Now let  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  be given complex numbers. For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , put  $\alpha_r = (-1)^{n-r} E_{n-r+1}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$  so that the roots of the equation

$$x^n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-1}$$

are exactly  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ . Consider the recursive relation

$$x_{r+n} = \alpha_1 x_r + \alpha_2 x_{r+1} + \dots + \alpha_n x_{r+n-1}.$$

For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we denote by  $w_i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$  the solution of this relation with initial conditions

$$w_{ik}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) = \delta_{i,k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

For the explicit expression of the  $w_{ik}$  as polynomials in  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  the following result may be proved:

7. For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and each  $r \geq n$ ,

$$w_{ir}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) = \varepsilon_i Q_{ir}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n),$$

where  $\varepsilon_i = (-1)^{n-i}$  and

$$Q_{i,r}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) = \sum_{\substack{e_j \geq 0 \\ e_1 + \dots + e_n = r - i + 1}} c_{ir}(e_1, \dots, e_n) \rho_{11}^{e_1} \dots \rho_n^{e_n},$$

where all  $c_{ir}(e_1, \dots, e_n) \geq 0$ .

For any  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  we shall denote by  $P(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$  the linear space consisting of all solutions of the recursive relation

$$x_{r+n} = \alpha_1 x_r + \dots + \alpha_n x_{r+n-1}$$

or, in other words, the linear space spanned by the  $n$  vectors  $w_1(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n), \dots, w_n(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ . Now let  $0 < \rho < 1$  be given and suppose that all  $|\rho_i| \leq \rho$ . We have seen already that, in this case,  $P(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$  is a subspace of  $H$ . We intend to show that

$$|S^n|_{P(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)} \leq |S^n|_{P(\rho, \dots, \rho)}.$$

To prove this, we intend to show that, for each  $x \in P(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ , there exists a  $y \in P(\rho, \dots, \rho)$  such that

$$\frac{|S^n x|}{|x|} \leq \frac{|S^n y|}{|y|}.$$

We note first that, all coefficients of the forms  $Q_{ir}$  being nonnegative,

$$|Q_{ir}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)| \leq Q_{ir}(\rho, \dots, \rho).$$

Now put  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{i-1}| \varepsilon_i w_i(\rho, \dots, \rho)$ . It follows that, for  $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$ , we have  $|y_r| = |x_r|$ . If  $r \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_r| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i-1} w_{ir}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{i-1}| Q_{ir}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{i-1}| Q_{ir}(\rho, \dots, \rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i Q_{ir}(\rho, \dots, \rho) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} w_{ir}(\rho, \dots, \rho) = y_r. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus  $|y_r| = |x_r|$  for  $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$  and  $y_r \geq |x_r|$  for  $r \geq n$  and this implies the desired inequality. We have thus proved the following theorem:

8. Let  $\rho < 1$ . The maximum of  $|A^n|$  where  $A$  is a linear operator on an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space subject to the conditions  $|A| \leq 1$  and  $|A|_\sigma \leq \rho$

is attained for the  $n$ th power of the shift operator  $S$  on the space of all sequences  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  which satisfy

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \rho^j x_{r+n-j} = 0$$

for each  $r \geq 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- 1 J. Mařík and V. Pták, Norms, spectra, and combinatorial properties of matrices, *Czech. Math. J.* 85(1960), 181–196.
- 2 V. Pták, Norms and the spectral radius of matrices, *Czech. Math. J.* 87(1962), 555–557.
- 3 V. Pták, Critical exponents, *Proc. Colloquium on Convexity, Copenhagen 1965* (1967), 244–248.

*Received September 5, 1967*