

Writing Structure for IELTS students

[Essay type: Discuss both these views and give your opinion]

It is true that..... **Although it can be argued that**..... **by allowing this to happen , I believe that** (Something/Someone) **should be** (V3 or V+ed)

There are several reasons why.....**could be seen as**..... **Firstly , if,** (Something/Someone) **be needed to make sure**..... (Something/Someone) **might be better**..... **Secondly, it would be much** (Comparative adjective) **and more** (adjective) **for** (Something/Someone).....

Despite the above arguments , I believe that (Something/Someone)..... (Something/Someone) **is much more than simply a means of** (Something/Someone); **it has a vital** (Something)..... **If** (Something/Someone) **disappears, a whole way of** (Something) **will disappear with** (Something/Someone)..... **By spending** (Something)..... , (Something/Someone) **can also** (Verb).....

In conclusion , it may..... **in the short term if** (Per pronoun)..... , **but in the long term** (Something) **would have an extremely** (Negative/Positive **impact on** (Per. Pronoun)..... .

Look at the example form to understand the structure : 👉 👉 👉

Topic: Several languages are in danger of extinction because they are spoken by very small numbers of people. Some people say that governments should spend public money on saving these languages, while others believe that would be a waste of money.

Discuss both these views and give your opinion.

It is true that some minority languages may disappear in the near future . **Although it can be argued that governments** could save money **by allowing this to happen , I believe that these languages should be protected and preserved.**

There are several reasons why saving minority languages **could be seen as** a waste of money. **Firstly , if** a language is only spoken by a small number of people, **expensive education programmes** will **be needed to make sure** that more people learn it, and the state will have to pay for facilities , teachers and marketing. **This money might be better** spent on other public services . **Secondly, it would be much cheaper and more efficient for countries** to have just one language. Governments could cut all kinds of costs related to communicating with each minority group.

Despite the above arguments , I believe that governments should try to preserve languages that are less widely spoken .**A language is much more than simply a means of communication; it has a vital connection** with the cultural identity of the people who speak it. **If a language disappears , a whole way of life will disappear** with it , and we will lose the rich cultural diversity that makes societies more interesting . **By spending money** to protect minority languages , **governments can also preserve** traditions , customs and behaviours that are part of a country's history.

In conclusion , it may save money **in the short term if we** allow minority languages to disappear , **but in the long term this would have an extremely negative impact on** our cultural heritage

The proof of the analyzed essay why it was evaluated band 9 by IELTS examiners. Try to learn how to write an essay on Writing criterias.

Topic: Several languages are in danger of extinction because they are spoken by very small numbers of people . Some people say that governments should spend public money on saving these languages , while others believe that would be a waste of money . Discuss both these views and give your opinion.

Sample Answer: 👉 👉 👉

It is true that some **minority languages** may disappear **in the near future** . **Although** it can be argued that governments **could** save money **by allowing this to happen**, I believe that *****these languages*** should be protected and preserved.**

There are **several reasons why** saving minority languages **could be seen** as a **waste of money**. **Firstly** , if *****a language***** is only **spoken by a small number of people**, expensive education programmes will **be needed to make sure** that **more people** learn **it*****, and the state will **have to** pay for facilities , teachers and marketing. **This money might be better spent on other public services** . **Secondly**, it would be **much cheaper and more efficient** for countries to have just one language. Governments could cut all kinds of costs **related to** communicating with **each minority group**.

Despite the above arguments , I believe that governments **should try to preserve languages that are less widely spoken** . *****A language***** is **much more than simply a means of** communication; *****it***** has a **vital** connection with the cultural identity of the people **who** speak *****it*****. If *****a language***** disappears , **a whole way of life** will disappear with *****it***** , and we will lose the **rich cultural** diversity that makes societies more interesting . **By spending** money to **protect** minority languages , governments can also preserve **traditions , customs and behaviours that are part of a country's history**.

In conclusion , **it***** may save money **in the short term** if we allow minority languages to disappear , **but in the long term this***** would have an **extremely negative impact** on our cultural **heritage**.

~~~~~

Words which were written in Black colour =Coherence

Words which were written in Pink colour=Cohesion

Words which were written in Green colour=Grammar

Words which were written in Blue colour=Lexical Resource (Vocabulary choice)

Check scrutinizingly why this essay was evaluated band 9 and try to write using these criterias and structures.

~~~~~

Made by Mr Shaxzod 🎓 🎓 🎓

Don't forget to follow to: 👉 👉 👉

🏛️ @Fascinatingieltsbank 🏛️